

Tracy, Mary

From: Hinchcliffe, Shannon
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2015 1:37 PM
To: Tracy, Mary
Cc: Jennings, Cindy
Subject: FW: CR 80 (d) Supplemental Stenographic Record

From: kayvaughan@charter.net [mailto:kayvaughan@charter.net]
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2015 9:47 AM
To: AOC DL - Rules Comments
Subject: Re: CR 80 (d) Supplemental Stenographic Record

Good morning, Madam/Sir:

I am writing to you regarding the above-referenced Court Rule.

There was a change of the language inserting "Courts may require additional "or different" qualifications at their discretion.

Adding those two words "or different" would mean years of work in this area will have been largely for naught. More importantly, the Court will have missed this opportunity to help improve consistency, accuracy, timeliness, and accountability for this vital part of our trial and appellate practice.

I am urging the Court to adopt this clarifying language:

If the superior court elects to record a proceeding solely by means of an electronic recording device, any party may, at its own expense, engage a certified court reporter to record the proceeding stenographically. Where a proceeding has been recorded both electronically and by a certified court reporter, either form of record, or both, may be used to create the verbatim report of proceedings for appellate review under RAP 9.2.

Thank you for taking the time to read this very important message.

Sincerely,

Colleen Kay Romine, CCR, RPR